The objection to Claim 1 has been addressed.

The rejections of Claims 1-3, 5 and 6 as being anticipated by Miyagi under

35 U.S.C. §102(b) and of Claims 4 and 7 as being unpatentable over Miyagi

under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) are traversed, and reconsideration is respectfully

requested.

The members 30, 36, 37, 38 of Miyagi are not exhaust flaps of a vehicle

exhaust system. They are the secondary air control valve and diaphragms and

body of that valve. Quite clearly they do not constitute or function as exhaust

flaps.

The Office Action asserts the teaching of a plurality of engine

characteristic curves stored in the computer 22. The Examiner is requested to

point out where in the Miyagi disclosure even one engine characteristic curve is

Fig. 4 is not a store engine characteristic diagram, and such a

diagram is not described at col. 2, lines 31-43 or at col. 5, line 60 to col. 6, line 2.

More importantly, applicants request a fuller explanation of where Miyagi

teaches the use of gear-dependent control. Applicants submit that there is no

such teaching and the reason is not difficult to explain. Simply stated, the

Miyagi patent has noting whatsoever to do with exhaust flap control.

More particularly, the control system in Miyagi is for adjusting the

amount of secondary air fed to the exhaust system for exhaust gas purification.

Page 4 of 6

Serial No. 10/790,245

Amendment Dated: May 10, 2006

Reply to Office Action Mailed: January 10, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 028987.53209US

Consequently, this system teaches nothing about a method or system which

employs the engaged gear as a variable for triggering the exhaust flaps. Instead,

the so-called exhaust flaps of the Miyagi system are arranged on the intake

manifold of the engine. As one of ordinary skill knows, exhaust flaps, by their

very nature, are on the hot exhaust side and are not controlled, as is the Miyagi

system, by A/F sensors and do not require a clutch signal.

Thus, the suggestion that the Miyagi system would have been obvious to

modify to switch between street vehicle operation and racecar operation based on

stored engine characteristic maps is totally without foundation. Only

impermissible hindsight and a misconstruing of the Miyagi patent disclosure

permits such a conclusion.

Accordingly, early and favorable action is earnestly solicited.

If there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in

general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this

should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as

a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and

Page 5 of 6

Serial No. 10/790,245

Amendment Dated: May 10, 2006

Reply to Office Action Mailed: January 10, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 028987.53209US

please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #028987.53209US).

Respectfully submitted,

May 10, 2006

James F. McKeown Registration No. 25,406 Vincent J. Sunderdick Registration No. 29,004

CROWELL & MORING LLP Intellectual Property Group P.O. Box 14300 Washington, DC 20044-4300 Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500

Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

JFM:jdp dn#2766192